

IVERMECTIN

Dr. Jarir At Thobari, DPharm, PhD

¹Dept. Pharmacology & Therapy Div. Pharmacoepidemiology, Pharmacovigilance & Pharmacoeconomic ²Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistic Unit (CEBU) ³Indonesia Cochrane Center (ICC) Faculty of Medicine, Public Health & Nursing Universitas Gadjah Mada

Ivermectin: Indication and Posology

A semisynthetic, anthelmintic agent for oral administration. Derived from the avermectins, a class of highly active broad-spectrum, anti-parasitic agents isolated from the fermentation products of *Streptomyces avermitilis*

Strongyloidiasis

- Nematode parasite Strongyloides stercoralis.
- RCT open-label designs, in which 64-100% of infected patients were cured following a single 200-mcg/kg dose of ivermectin
- 0.15 mg/kg orally once



Ivermectin: Indication and Posology



Onchocerciasis Nematode parasite Onchocerca volvulus. RCT, double-blind, placebo-controlled in 1278 patients with moderate to severe onchocerciasis received a single dose 150 mcg/kg ivermectin experienced an 83.2% and 99.5% decrease in skin microfilariae count 3 days and 3 months after the dose, respectively. 0.15 mg/kg orally once every 12 months

Ivermectin: Indication and Posology





• 0.2 mg/kg orally once every 12 months

Pharmacokinetics of Ivermectin

Following oral administration of ivermectin, plasma concentrations are approximately proportional to the dose.

In two studies, after single 12-mg doses of ivermectin in fasting healthy volunteers (mean dose of 165 mcg/kg), 4 hours after dosing, the mean peak plasma concentrations were **46.6 (±21.9) (range: 16.4-101.1); and 30.6 (±15.6) (range: 13.9-68.4),** respectively.

Metabolized in the liver primarily by **CYP3A4**, excreted almost exclusively in the feces over an estimated 12 days, with less than 1% of the administered dose excreted in the urine.

The plasma half-life of ivermectin is approximately 18 hours following oral administration.

Pharmacodynamic

 It binds to glutamate-gated chloride iron channels, which are present in invertebrate nerve and muscle cells, and causes the paralysis and death of the parasite.



Al-Fatlawi et al, QJVMS (2019) Vol. 18 No. (1)



Repurposing approved drugs for targeting SARS-CoV-2

IVM as Antiviral

Ivermectin against several RNA viruses is due to its ability to specifically inhibit importin α/β -mediated nuclear transport, which in turn blocks the nuclear trafficking of viral proteins.

Several RNA viruses depend on $Imp\alpha/\beta1$ during the process of infection.

SARS-CoV-2, is an RNA virus, is expected to show a similar mechanism of action



Sharun et al. Ivermectin, a new candidate therapeutic against SARS-CoV-2/COVID-1. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob (2020) 19:23

Unproven efficacy for dengue

The 34th Annual Meeting The Royal College of Physicians of Thailand **'Internal Medicine and One Health'** 26th - 28th April 2018, PEACH Royal Cliff Beach Resort, Pattaya, Chonburi, Thailand

Efficacy and Safety of Ivermectin against Dengue Infection: A Phase III, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Trial

Eakkawit Yamasmith¹ Panisadee Avirutnan² Dumrong Mairiang² Sawalee Tanrumluk¹ Yupin Suputtamongkol¹

ja3

Fadhil A-hamad Saleh-arong¹ Nasikarn Angkasekwinai¹ Ekkarat Wongsawat¹ Usanee Fongsri¹



Slide 9

ja3 jarir atthobari, 7/21/2021





The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*

Leon Caly^a, Julian D. Druce^a, Mike G. Catton^a, David A. Jans^b, Kylie M. Wagstaff^{b,*}

^a Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, Royal Melbourne Hospital, At the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Victoria, 3000, Australia ^b Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Vic, 3800, Australia

ABSTRACT

10

Although several clinical trials are now underway to test possible therapies, the worldwide response to the COVID-19 outbreak has been largely limited to monitoring/containment. We report here that lvermeetin, an FDA-approved anti-parasitic previously shown to have broad-spectrum anti-viral activity *in viro*, is an inhibitor of the causative virus (SARS-CoV-2), with a single addition to Vero-hSLAM cells 2 h post infection with SARS-CoV-2 able to effect –5000-fold reduction in viral RNA at 48 h. Ivermeetin therefore warrants further investigation for possible benefits in humans.



Standard human dose vs. In-vitro dose



In the standard dose ivermectin $(0.15 \text{ mg/kg} = 150 \mu \text{g/kg})$, in Pharmacokinetic study, maximum plasma concentration was only ~ 0.025µM

The inhibitory concentration of the drug, ~5.0 µM (~200-fold more standard dosing)

Lung concentrations are a bit lower than blood concentrations but still ~100-fold more than what is needed to inhibit the virus

2000

1500



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Published online:

06 July 202

Meta-analysis of randomized trials of ivermectin to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection a

Andrew Hill ☎, Anna Garratt, Jacob Levi, Jonathan Falconer, Leah Ellis, Kaitlyn McCann, Victoria Pilkington, Ambar Qavi, Junzheng Wang, Hannah Wentzel

Open Forum Infectious Diseases, ofab358, https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab358

		1G)	lverme	ctin	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
		Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
		4.3.1 Severe							
Peer reviewe	d 14 mg 3 days	Brazil Fonseca et al	12	53	25	115	18.4%	1.04 [0.57, 1.91]	
Pre-print	0.4 mg/kg 5 days	Egypt Elgazzar Severe	2	100	20	100	9.4%	0.10 [0.02, 0.42]	
Pre-print	0.2 mg/kg 1 days	Mexico Gonzalez et al	5	36	6	37	12.5%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]	
Peer reviewee	d 0.2 mg/kg 5 days	Turkey Okumus et al Subtotal (95% CI)	6	30 219	9	30 282	14.7% 55.0%	0.67 [0.27, 1.64] 0.58 [0.25, 1.32]	-
		Total events	25		60				-
		Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.45$: Ch	$i^2 = 8.90$	df = 3	(P = 0.0)	3): $ ^2 =$	66%		
		Test for overall effect: $Z = 1.30$	(P = 0.19)))		- // ·			
		4.3.2 Mild/moderate							
Unpublished	0.2 mg/kg 1 days	Bangladesh Mahmud et al	0	183	3	180	3.2%	0.14 [0.01, 2.70]	
Peer reviewed	0.3 mg/kg 5 days	Colombia Lopez-Medina et al	0	200	1	198	2.8%	0.33 [0.01, 8.05]	· · · ·
Unpublished	0.2 mg/kg 3 days	Egypt Abd-Elsalam et al	3	82	4	82	9.1%	0.75 [0.17, 3.25]	
Pre-print	0.4 mg/kg 5 days	Egypt Elgazzar Moderate	0	100	4	100	3.2%	0.11 [0.01, 2.04]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pre-print	12 mg 5 days	India Kirti et al	0	55	4	57	3.3%	0.12 [0.01, 2.09]	
Pre-print	0.2 mg/kg 1-3 days	Iran Niaee et al	4	120	11	60	12.4%	0.18 [0.06, 0.55]	
Unpublished	0.2 mg/kg 1 days	Iran Rezai et al	1	35	0	34	2.8%	2.92 [0.12, 69.20]	
Pre-print (0.2-0.4 mg/kg 2-3 days	Iraq Hashim et al	2	70	6	70	8.4%	0.33 [0.07, 1.60]	
		Subtotal (95% CI)		845		781	45.0%	0.30 [0.15, 0.58]	-
		Total events	10		33				
		Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.00$; Chi	i ² = 5.42,	df = 7	(P = 0.6)	1); 1° =	: 0%		
		Test for overall effect: $Z = 3.57$	(P = 0.00))04)					
		Total (95% CI)		1064		1063	100.0%	0.44 [0.25, 0.77]	•
		Total events	35		93				
		Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.35$; Ch	$i^2 = 19.24$	4, df =	11 (P =	0.06); I	$^{2} = 43\%$		
		Test for overall effect: $Z = 2.85$	(P = 0.00))4)					Favours Ivermectin Favours Control
		Test for subgroup differences: ($Chi^2 = 1.5$	54, df =	= 1 (P = 0)).21),	$^{2} = 35.1\%$		

-	Research
	Square

Browse - Tools & Services

This is a preprint, a preliminary version of a manuscript that has not completed peer review at a journal. Research Square does not conduct peer review prior to posting preprints. The posting of a preprint on this server should not be interpreted as an endorsement of its validity or suitability for dissemination as established information or for guiding clinical practice.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ivermectin as an adjunct treatment for hospitalized adult COVID-19 patients: A randomized multi-center clinical trial

Morteza Shakhsi Niaee, Nematollah Gheibi, Peyman Namdar, Abbas Allami, Leila Zolghadr, Amir Javadi, Amin Karampour, Mehran Varnaseri, Behzad Bizhani, Fatemeh Cheraghi, Yazdan Naderi, Fatemeh Amini, Masoumeh Karamyan, Mohammad Jafar Yadyad, Ramin Jamshidian

Point Estimates		Confidence Limits
Туре	Value	Lower, Upper
Risk in Ivermectin groups	3.3%	1.0, 8.5
Risk in Control groups	18.3%	10.4, 30.1
Overall Risk	8.3%	5.0, 13.4
Risk Ratio	0.18	0.06, 0.550
Risk Difference	-15%	-25.3, -4.7°
Prevented fraction in Control groups	54.6%	23, 50
Prevented fraction in Ivermectin groups	81.8%	45.3, 94.0

					Group			
		Control gro	oups (S +P)		Ivermectin	n Groups		
		S	Р	Arm1	Arm2	Arm3	Arm4	Total
Sex	male	16 (53.3)	14 (46.7)	12 (40.0)	19 (63.3)	16 (53.3)	13 (43.3)	90 (50.0)
	female	14 (46.7)	16 (53.3)	18 (60.0)	11 (36.7)	14 (46.7)	17 (56.7)	90 (50.0)
Age (year)		55 [45 - 70]	58 [45 - 68]	61 [42 - 68]	53 [42 - 65]	54 [47 - 60]	54 [46 - 65]	56 [45 - 67]
BMI (Kg/m	2)	26.0 [24.]	-25.6 [23.9	-26.1 [24.8 -	26.4 [25.5 2	7.7 [25.7 -32.	625.1 [23.9	26.0 [24.7
		27.6]	26.9]	28.0]	27.2]		-26.2]	-27.4]
Severity	negative	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (6.7)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (1.1)
on CT	mild	4 (13.3)	5 (16.7)	8 (26.7)	2 (6.7)	4 (13.3)	2 (6.7)	25 (13.9)
	mode	23 (76.7)	23 (76.7)	21 (70.0)	20 (66.7)	21 (70.0)	23 (76.7)	131 (72.8)
	sever	3 (10.0)	2 (6.7)	1 (3.3)	6 (20.0)	5 (16.7)	5 (16.7)	22 (12.2)
PCR	positive	18 (60.0)	14 (46.7)	23 (76.7)	23 (76.7)	29 (96.7)	21 (70.0)	128 (71.1)
	negative	12 (40.0)	16 (53.3)	7 (23.3)	7 (23.3)	1 (3.3)	9 (30.0)	52 (28.9)

Almost 30% subject is not COVID-19

Research Square

Browse - Tools & Services -

Table (1): Initial Laboratory data of the studied patients before starting treatment:

This is a preprint, a preliminary version of a manuscript that has not completed peer review at a journal. Research Square does not conduct peer review prior to posting preprints. The posting of a preprint on this server should not be interpreted as an endorsement of its validity or suitability for dissemination as established information or for guiding clinical practice.

ARTICLE

Efficacy and Safety of Ivermectin for Treatment and prophylaxis of COVID-19 Pandemic

> Ahmed Elgazzar, Abdelaziz Eltaweel, Shaimaa Abo Youssef, Basma Hany, Mohy Hafez, Hany Moussa

Group I: 100 patients Mild/Moderate COVID-19 Ivermectin 0.4mg/kg Group II: 100 patients Mild/Moderate COVID-19 hydroxychloroquine Group III: 100 patients Severe COVID-19 Ivermectin 0.4mg/kg Group IV: 100 patients Severe COVID-19 hydroxychloroquine Group V: 100 health care (pre-exposure) ivermectin 0.4mg/kg Group VI: 100 health care (pre-exposure) protective measures only

Variable		Group I (n =100)	Group II (n =100)	Group III (n = 100)	Group IV (n =100)		Test	
Hgb (am/dl)	Mean ±SD	12.6 ± 1.8	12.9 ± 2.1	11.2 ± 1.9	10.9 ± 1.2		ANOVA-test F = 69.1	
(3,,	Range	10 -14	9 - 15	9 -12	10 -13		P <0.001	
TLC	Mean ±SD	5.8± 1.2	6.2± 1.8	7.3± 1.4	6.9± 2.1		ANOVA-test	
(X 103/ mL)	Range	4-9	4.3-10.2	4.8-11.2	5.1-13.7		F=11.71 P <0.001	
Lymphocyte	Mean ±SD	18 ± 2.3	17 ± 3.1	16 ± 2.8	17 ± 1.9		ANOVA-test	
(%)	Range	14 - 20	15 - 18	13 - 19	9 - 18		F=430 P<0.001	
CRP (mg/l)	Mean ±SD	48.4 ± 14.6	50.6 ± 18.3	64.8 ± 16.4	68.2 ± 18.	5	ANOVA-test F=280	
	Range	10 - 69	12 - 89	18 - <mark>6</mark> 4	<mark>24 - 8</mark> 2		P <0.001	
Serum ferritin	Mean ±SD	168.4±12.6	172±18.6	420±72.8	334±108.6		ANOVA-test	
(ng/ml)	Range	154-186	158-194	188-472	192-630		F=523 P <0.001	
D dimer	Mean ±SD	4.8±1.8	5.4±2.1	9.6±1.2	10.2±2.8		ANOVA-test	
(mg/l)	Range	4.3-5.6	3.2-6.2	8.2-10.4	8.6-11.2		F=478 P <0.001	

STUDY WAS WITHDRAWN

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Meta-analysis of randomized trials of ivermectin to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection a

Andrew Hill ☎, Anna Garratt, Jacob Levi, Jonathan Falconer, Leah Ellis, Kaitlyn McCann, Victoria Pilkington, Ambar Qavi, Junzheng Wang, Hannah Wentzel

Open Forum Infectious Diseases, ofab358, https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab358

Excluded 2 studies with high RoB

%

Weight

44.06

13.92

19.79

77.77

1 95

1.67

7.93

2.03

1.70

6.94

22.23

100.00

RR (95% CI)

1.03 (0.55, 1.93)

0.87 (0.29, 2.64)

0.72 (0.29, 1.83)

0.92 (0.57, 1.46)

0.14 (0.01, 2.75)

0.33 (0.01, 8.09)

0.76 (0.18, 3.29)

0.12 (0.01, 2.23)

2.84 (0.12, 67.36)

0.35 (0.07, 1.69)

0.45 (0.19, 1.09)

0.78 (0.52, 1.18)

148



American Journal of Therapeutics 28, e434-e460 (2021)

Published online 2021 Jun 21

Ivermectin for Prevention and Treatment of COVID-19 Infection: A Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Trial Sequential Analysis to Inform Clinical Guidelines

> Andrew Bryant, MSc,¹⁺ Theresa A. Lawrie, MBBCh, PhD,² Therese Dowswell, PhD,² Edmund J. Fordham, PhD,² Scott Mitchell, MBChB, MRCS,³ Sarah R. Hill, PhD,¹ and Tony C. Tham, MD, FRCP⁴

Variation of dose, duration and combination

- (1) IVM 12mg x 5 days (24 pts) or IVM 12 mg + doxy x 5 days (24 pts)
- (2) IVM 6mg-12mg every 84 hrs for 2 wks; vs lopinavir/ritonavir
- (3) IVM 0.4mg/kg single dose
- (4) IVM up to 24 mg daily for 4 days vs HCQ
- (5) IVM 0.2mg/kg x 2-3 days + Doxy 100 mg BID x 10 days
- (6) IVM 0.3mg/kg solution for 5 days vs placebo solution
- (7) IVM 6mg once + Doxy 100 mg x 5 days
- (8) IVM 12mg or 24 mg single dose
- (9) IVM 0.4mg/kg x 3 days
- (10) IVM 12 mg x 2 days
- (11) IVM 0.2mg/kg single dose
- (12) IVM up to 24 mg daily for 4 days vs HCQ
- (13) IVM 14 mg x 3 days vs HCQ x 5 days or CQ x 5 days
- (14) IVM single dose 12mg or 18mg depending on weight
- (15) IVM 0.2mg/kg x 2-3 days + Doxy 100 mg BID x 10 days

```
(16) IVM 0.2mg/kg x 5 days (both arms received HCQ, favipiravir, azithromycin)
```

(17) IVM 0.2mg/kg to 400 $\mu gm/kg$ (1 to 3 doses) vs HCQ

	Ivermed	ctin	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	I IV, Random, 95% CI	
1.1.1 Mild to moderate C	OVID-19							
Ahmed 2020 (1)	0	45	0	23		Not estimable		
Babalola 2020 (2)	0	42	0	20		Not estimable		
Chaccour 2020 (3)	0	12	0	12		Not estimable		
Elgazzar 2020 (4)	0	100	4	100	4.3%	0.11 [0.01, 2.04]		
Hashim 2020 (5)	0	48	0	48		Not estimable		
Lopez-Medina 2021 (6)	0	275	1	198	3.6%	0.24 [0.01, 5.87]	· · · ·	
Mahmud 2020 (7)	0	183	3	180	4.1%	0.14 [0.01, 2.70]		
Mohan 2021 (8)	0	100	0	52		Not estimable		
Petkov 2021 (9)	0	50	0	50		Not estimable		
Ravikirti 2021 (10)	0	55	4	57	4.3%	0.12 [0.01, 2.09]		
Rezai 2020 (11)	1	35	0	34	3.7%	2.92 [0.12, 69.20]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		945		774	20.0%	0.24 [0.06, 0.94]	-	
Total events	1		12					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00	0; Chi ² = 3	8.03, df	= 4 (P =	0.55); l ^a	² = 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	2.05 (P =	0.04)						
1.1.2 Severe COVID-19								
Elgazzar 2020 (12)	2	100	20	100	11.2%	0.10 [0.02, 0.42]	_ _	
Fonseca 2021 (13)	12	52	25	115	19.5%	1.06 [0.58, 1.94]	+	
Gonzalez 2021 (14)	5	36	6	37	14.3%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]		
Hashim 2020 (15)	0	11	6	22	4.5%	0.15 [0.01, 2.40]		
Okumus 2021 (16)	6	36	9	30	16.2%	0.56 [0.22, 1.38]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		235		304	65.8%	0.51 [0.22, 1.14]	◆	
Total events	25		66					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.48	8; Chi ² = 1	0.52, d	f = 4 (P =	0.03);	l ² = 62%			
Test for overall effect: Z =	1.65 (P =	0.10)						
1.1.3 Mild, moderate and	severe C	:OVID-	19			success with the end of the second		
Niaee 2020 (17)	4	120	11	60	14.2%	0.18 [0.06, 0.55]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		120		60	14.2%	0.18 [0.06, 0.55]	-	
Total events	4		11					
Heterogeneity: Not applica	able							
Test for overall effect: Z =	3.03 (P =	0.002)						
Total (95% CI)		1300		1138	100.0%	0.38 [0.19, 0.73]	•	
Total events	30		89	0.075			•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.40	9. Chi ² = 1	9 78 d	f = 10 / P	= 0.03)	· 12 = 49%		· · · · · · ·	ŧ
Test for overall effect: 7 =	2.87 (P =	0.004)		0.00)	. 4070		0.002 0.1 1 10 500	j.
							Favours ivermectin Favours control	

Test for subgroup differences: Chi² = 2.38, df = 2 (P = 0.30), I² = 15.9%



		Ivermed	tin	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	IV, Random, 95% CI
	1.1.1 Mild to moderate C	OVID-19						
	Ahmed 2020 (1)	0	45	0	23		Not estimable	
	Babalola 2020 (2)	0	42	0	20		Not estimable	
	Chaccour 2020 (3)	0	12	0	12		Not estimable	
	Elgazzar 2020 (4)	0	100	4	100	4.3%	0.11 [0.01, 2.04]	
	Hashim 2020 (5)	0	48	0	48		Not estimable	
	Lopez-Medina 2021 (6)	0	275	1	198	3.6%	0.24 [0.01, 5.87]	
\Rightarrow	Mahmud 2020 (7)	0	183	3	180	4.1%	0.14 [0.01, 2.70]	
\Rightarrow	Mohan 2021 (8)	0	100	0	52		Not estimable	
	Petkov 2021 (9)	0	50	0	50		Not estimable	
	Ravikirti 2021 (10)	0	55	4	57	4.3%	0.12 [0.01, 2.09]	
	Rezai 2020 (11)	1	35	0	34	3.7%	2.92 [0.12, 69.20]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		945		774	20.0%	0.24 [0.06, 0.94]	-
	Total events	1		12				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.0	0; Chi ² = 3	.03, df	= 4 (P =)	0.55); l ^a	^e = 0%		
	Test for overall effect: Z =	2.05 (P =	0.04)					
	1.1.2 Severe COVID-19							
•	Elgazzar 2020 (12)	2	100	20	100	11.2%	0.10 [0.02, 0.42]	
\Rightarrow	Fonseca 2021 (13)	12	52	25	115	19.5%	1.06 [0.58, 1.94]	
	Gonzalez 2021 (14)	5	36	6	37	14.3%	0.86 [0.29, 2.56]	
	Hashim 2020 (15)	0	11	6	22	4.5%	0.15 [0.01, 2.40]	· · · · ·
	Okumus 2021 (16)	6	36	9	30	16.2%	0.56 [0.22, 1.38]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		235		304	65.8%	0.51 [0.22, 1.14]	-
	Total events	25		66				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.4	8; Chi ² = 1	0.52, d	f = 4 (P =	0.03);	$ ^2 = 62\%$		
	Test for overall effect: Z =	1.65 (P =	0.10)					
	1.1.3 Mild, moderate and	severe C	OVID-	19				
	Niaee 2020 (17)	4	120	11	60	14.2%	0.18 [0.06, 0.55]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)		120		60	14.270	0.18 [0.06, 0.55]	
	Total events	4		11				
	Heterogeneity: Not applica	able	0.000					
	l est for overall effect: Z =	3.03 (P =	0.002)					
	Total (95% CI)		1300		1138	100.0%	0.38 [0.19, 0.73]	•
	Total events	30		89				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.4	9; Chi ² = 1	9.78, d	f = 10 (P	= 0.03)	; l ² = 49%		
	Test for overall effect: Z =	2.87 (P =	0.004)					0.002 0.1 1 10 500
	Test for subgroup differen	ces: Chi2 :	= 2.38,	df = 2 (P	= 0.30)	, l² = 15.9%	6	Favours ivermecun Favours control

Manu studies in criteria Some Concerns and High Risk of Bias

Cochrane Method for Risk of Bias v2 (RoB-2) Suggested overall risk of bias judgement

Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Overall
Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Low	SC	Low	Low	SC	SC
Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High
High	Low	SC	High	High	High
SC	SC	SC	Low	SC	High

Clinical Infectious Diseases

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials @

Yuani M Roman, MD, MPH, Paula Alejandra Burela, BSc, Vinay Pasupuleti, MD, PhD, Alejandro Piscoya, MD, Jose E Vidal, MD, PhD, Adrian V Hernandez, MD, PhD 🕿

Clinical Infectious Diseases, ciab591, https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab591 Published: 28 June 2021 Article history v

Study ID	Experimental	Comparator	Outcome	Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Overa
Chaccour 2021	IVM	Placebo	PCR positivity at day 7 post treatment	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Lopez-Medina 2021	IVM	Placebo	Resolution of symptoms (days)	Low	High	Some concerns	Low	Some concerns	High
Podder 2020	IVM	Standard of care	Resolution of symptoms (days)	Some concerns	High	High	High	Some concerns	High
Ahmed 2021	IVM	Placebo	Time to virological clearence (days)	Some concerns	Low	Low	Low	High	High
Chachar 2020	IVM	Standard of care	Asymptomatic at day 7	Some concerns	Low	Low	High	Low	High
Krolewiecki 2020	IVM	Standard of care	Viral load at day 5	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High
Niaee 2020	IVM	Standard of care	Mortality at 45 days	Low	Low	Low	High	High	High
Ravikirti 2021	IVM	Placebo	Negative PCR at day 6	Low	Low	High	Low	Low	High
Beltran 2021	IVM	Placebo	Hospital discharge	Some concerns	Low	Low	Low	Low	Somecon
Karamat 2021	IVM	Standard of care	Viral clearence at day 14	Low	High	High	Some concerns	Low	High

All cause mortality

	lverr	nectin	С	ontrol						
Source	Events	Total	Events	Total	RR [95%-CI]		Favors IVM	Fav	vors Cor	ntrol Weight
Beltran 2021	5	36	6	37	0.86 [0.29; 2.56]			-	6	41.7%
Chaccour 2021	0	12	0	12	1.00 [0.02; 46.56]	_		+		- 4.1%
Lopez-Medina 2021	0	200	1	198	0.33 [0.01; 8.06]	-		+		5.9%
Niaee 2020	4	120	11	60	0.18 [0.06; 0.55]		_			41.2%
Ravikirti 2021	0	57	4	58	0.11 [0.01; 2.06]	←	•	+		7.1%
Random effects model	9	425	22	365	0.37 [0.12; 1.13]	_		+		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 16\%$, τ^2	2 = 0.0745	p = 0.3	31			1	1 1	1.1	1	1
					0	.02	0.1 0.5	1 2	10	50
							Risk Rati	o (95	5% CI)	

Length of stay

	lve	rmectin			Control							
Source	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	MD [95%-CI]	Fa	vors IVM	Favors	Control	Weight
Ahmed 2021	9.60	4.7500	24	9.70	4.0000	24	-0.10 [-2.58; 2.38]		_	-		14.3%
Beltran 2021	6.75	5.1900	36	5.25	2.2200	37	1.50 [-0.34; 3.34]					26.1%
Niaee 2020	6.59	2.4700	116	6.02	4.0500	49	0.57 [-0.65; 1.79]			-		59.5%
Random effects model			176			110	0.72 [-0.86; 2.29]					100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2 :	= 0, p =	0.56						(1	1		
							-1	0	-5	0 5	i 10)
								Me	ean Differ	ence (95%	% CI)	

Viral clearance

	lvern	nectin	C	ontrol				
Source	Events	Total	Events	Total	RR [95%-CI]	Favors IVM	Favors	Control Weight
Podder 2020	18	20	19	20	0.95 [0.79; 1.13]	+		81.6%
Chaccour 2021	0	12	0	12	1.00 [0.02; 46.56] -			0.2%
Karamat 2021	20	41	18	45	1.22 [0.76; 1.96]	-	-	11.3%
Ravikirti 2021	13	55	18	57	0.75 [0.41; 1.38]		-	6.9%
Random effects model	51	128	55	134	0.96 [0.79; 1.16]	4	,	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, τ^2	= 0, p = 0.	65			- · · - Γ	1 11		
Children Cherry Control					0.02	2 0.1 0.51	2 1	0 50
						Risk Ratio	(95% CI)	

Retracted COVID-19 papers



Review of the Emerging Evidence Demonstrating the Efficacy of Ivermectin in the Prophylaxis and Treatment of COVID-19

Pierre Kory, MD1*, G. Umberto Meduri, MD27, Jose Iglesias, DO3, Joseph Varon, MD4, Keith Berkowitz, MD5, Howard Kornfeld, MD6, Eivind Vinjevoll, MD7, Scott Mitchell, MBChB8, Fred Wagshul, MD9, Paul E. Marik, MD10

- ¹ Front-Line Covid-19 Critical Care Alliance.
- ² Memphis VA Medical Center Univ. of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN.
- ³ Hackensack School of Medicine, Seton Hall, NJ.
- ⁴ University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX.

Retracted coronavirus (COVID-19) papers



via CDC

Retracted coronavirus (COVID-19) papers – Retraction Watch



Ivermectin in COVID-19: Living guidelines with GRADE

Outcome (timeframe)	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain text summary
		Standard care	Ivermectin	Quality of evidence	
All-cause mortality Within 28 days of commencing treatment	RR=0.41 (CI 95% 0.19 - 0.92) Based on data from 1079 patients in 6 studies	53 per 1000	22 per 1000	Low	Ivermectin may decrease death slightly (38 events).
		Difference: 31 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 43 fewer - 4 fewer)		Due to serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	
Invasive mechanical ventilation End of follow-up	RR=0.75 (CI 95% 0.23 - 2.43) Based on data from 497 patients in 4 studies	40 per 1000	30 per 1000	Low	Ivermectin may have little or no difference on invasive mechanical ventilation
		Difference: 10 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 31 fewer - 57 more)		Due to serious imprecision	
Supplemental oxygen End of follow-up	RR=1.08 (CI 95% 0.50 - 2.32) Based on data from 114 patients in 2 studies	158 per 1000	171 per 1000	Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases requirement of supplemental oxygen
		Difference: 13 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 79 fewer - 209 more)		Due to serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	

Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline (who.int): <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-therapeutics-2021.2</u>

Ivermectin in COVID-19: Living guidelines with GRADE

Outcome (timeframe)	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain text summary
		Standard care	Ivermectin	Quality of evidence	
ICU admission End of follow-up	RR=0.53 (CI 95% 0.11 - 2.51) Based on data from 143 patients in 2 studies	115 per 1000	61 per 1000	Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases admission to ICU
		Difference: 54 fewer per 1000 (Cl 95% 102 fewer - 174 more)		Due to serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	
Discharge from Hospital End of follow-up	RR=1.06 (CI 95% 0.99 - 1.12) Based on data from 342 patients in 4 studies	868 per 1000	920 per 1000	Low	lvermectin may increase discharge from hospital slightly
		Difference: 52 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 9 fewer - 104 more)		Due to serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	
Clinical Improvement End of follow-up	RR=1.07 (CI 95% 0.94 - 1.22) Based on data from 125 patients in 1 study	867 per 1000	928 per 1000	Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases clinical improvement
		Difference: 61 more per1000 (Cl 95% 52 fewer - 191 more)		Due to very serious imprecision	

Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline (who.int): <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-therapeutics-2021.2</u>

Ivermectin in COVID-19: Living guidelines with GRADE

Outcome (timeframe)	Study results and measurements	Absolute effect estimates		Certainty of the Evidence	Plain text summary
		Standard care	Ivermectin	Quality of evidence	
Viral clearance 7-10 days after treatment	RR=1.30 (Cl 95% 0.89 - 1.91) Based on data from 332 patients in 4 studies	539 per 1000	701 per 1000	Very Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases viral clearance.
		Difference: 162 more per 1000 (CI 95% 59 fewer - 490 more)		Due to very serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	
Clinical recovery Within 21 days of commencing treatment	RR=1.04 (CI 95% 0.94 - 1.15) Based on data from 398 patients in 1 study	788 per 1000	820 per 1000	Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases clinical recovery
		Difference: 32 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 47 fewer - 118 more)		Due to very serious imprecision	
Serious Adverse Events End of follow-up	RR=1.12 (CI 95% 0.21 - 5.88) Based on data from 664 patients in 5 studies	7 per 1000	8 per 1000	Low	Uncertain whether ivermectin increases or decreases serious adverse events
		Difference: 1 more per 1000 (Cl 95% 6 fewer - 34 more)		Due to serious risk of bias and serious imprecision	

Therapeutics and COVID-19: living guideline (who.int): <u>https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-therapeutics-2021.2</u>

WHO Recommendation on Ivermectin

We recommend not to use ivermectin in patients with COVID-19 except in the context of a clinical trial.

- Remark: This recommendation applies to patients with any disease severity and any duration of symptoms.
- A recommendation to only use a drug in the setting of clinical trials is appropriate when there is very low certainty evidence and future research has a large potential for reducing uncertainty about the effects of the intervention and for doing so at reasonable cost.

On Going Clinical Trial Ivermectin



WASPADA COVID19 ~ BERANDA PROFIL SUMBER DAYA ~ RISET Home > Berita SD - PK > Uji Klinik Obat Ivermectin Berita SD - PK Uji Klinik Obat Ivermectin By Eni Yuwarni - June 24, 2021

● 26 ■ 0



WMA DECLARATION OF HELSINKI – ETHICAL PRINCIPLES FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS

Unproven Interventions in Clinical Practice

In the treatment of an individual patient, where proven interventions do not exist or other known interventions have been ineffective, the physician, after seeking expert advice, with informed consent from the patient or a legally authorized representative, may use an unproven intervention if in the physician's judgement it offers hope of saving life, re-establishing health or alleviating suffering.

This intervention should subsequently be made the object of research, designed to evaluate its safety and efficacy. In all cases, new information must be recorded and, where appropriate, made publicly available.

Expanded Access (Compassionate Use)

Expanded access is appropriate when all the following apply:

- Patient has a serious disease or condition, or whose life is immediately threatened by their disease or condition.
- There is no comparable or satisfactory alternative therapy to diagnose, monitor, or treat the disease or condition.
- Patient enrollment in a clinical trial is not possible.
- Potential patient benefit justifies the potential risks of treatment.

Take Home Message

Ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in-vitro study, but based on current pharmacokinetic, the higher dose is need to reach similar concentration in the blood

Meta analysis has been done; the uncertainty due to high risk of bias study is high.

Living guideline base on meta-analysis and GRADE recommendation showed there are low and very low evidences for effectiveness of ivermectin in COVID-19

We recommend not to use ivermectin in patients with COVID-19 except in the context of a clinical trial

The compassionate use to use unproven intervention should be based on risk-benefit, clinical judgment, informed consent and subsequently object of research

